ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



Evaluation of indigenous rice germplasm for identification of durable bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*) resistance sources in Bangladesh

Abstract:

Bacterial blight disease caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) is one of the most devastating biotic stresses of rice in all rice growing countries of the world. Find out the resistant source is the first step for the development of resistant rice variety through breeding techniques. In this experiment, one hundred land races including susceptible check Purbachi and resistance check IRBB65 were screened both in seedling and maximum tillering stages. BXO9, a representative isolate of the major races of BB pathogen of Bangladesh was used for inoculation. The results were analyzed through multivariate analysis viz. Principal component analysis (PCA), Principal coordinate analysis (PCO), Canonical vector analysis (CVA) and Cluster analysis (CLSA) using GENSTAT 5. The genotypes were grouped into ten clusters based on lesion length on leaves, relative lesion length and leaf area damaged. In nursery experiment, cluster VI contained 4 genotypes including Purbachi and cluster I contained 7 land races including IRBB65. In nursery experiment, cluster V contained 9 land races including Purbachi and cluster I contained 7 land races including IRBB65. In nursery experiment SUNGWALA, PAJRE and in field experiment MATHIA and HARMA SHAIL (1) showed resistance against *Xoo*. However, further research is necessary for the confirmation of these materials as resistant source both at seedling and maximum tillering stages using molecular markers.

Keywords: Bacterial blight, resistance source, land races

Introduction:

Bacterial blight (BB), caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) is one of the most destructive diseases in the major rice growing countries of Asia, including Bangladesh [1,2]. The disease is a serious problem, as rice is grown under irrigated and high fertilizer input conditions that are conducive to disease development [3]. In severe epidemics, yield reduction ranging from 20% to 40% directly attributed to BB infection, is common [4]. Breeding for disease resistance is the most effective, economic and also eco-friendly method for controlling of BB elsewhere. Several germplasm donors carrying diverse genes for BB resistance have been used to develop BB resistant varieties. Some climatic factors such as rainfall, humidity, temperature, flood and stormy weather during the rice growing season along with high nitrogenous fertilizer application for increasing the yield of HYV causes the occurrence and the severity of BB in Bangladesh [2,4-6].

Japan as well as International rice research institute of Philippines has been studied about the pathogenicity of *Xoo* and resistance genes in rice cultivar [7]. Six BB pathogen races with some aggressive virulent strain were identified in 2009 in Bangladesh [14]. In Bangladesh, severe outbreak of BB was occurred in both hybrid and inbred varieties in Boro 2007-08 [8]. Control of the disease with copper compounds, antibiotics, and other chemicals has not proven effective. Enhancing host resistance is considered as the most effective strategy to achieve disease resistance in rice. However, the high degree of pathogenic variation in *Xoo* often causes the breakdown of resistance [9, 10]. Development of resistant varieties is considered the most cost-effective and eco-friendly approach to protect rice from biotic and abiotic stresses for ensuring the yield stability. Studies have demonstrated that pyramiding multiple resistant genes in one cultivar is the sustainable way for long time resistance against the BB [11-13]. Resistant breeding to *Xoo* in Bangladesh is still in an early developmental stage [14]. To assist the rice breeding program against bacterial leaf blight in Bangladesh search for resistant sources is essential. The present study was undertaken to identify the resistant source(s) of rice against the major race of Bacterial Blight pathogen in Bangladesh.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

Materials and Methods:

The experiment was conducted in both nursery and field conditions at Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Gazipur during T. Aman 2011 season.

Collection of test materials (land races and check varieties)

One hundred rice land races were obtained from the Genetic Resources and Seed (GRS) Division, BRRI and two check rice varieties (Purbachi as susceptible and 'IRBB65' as resistant check) were also included in the screening test for comparison.

Inoculum preparation and Inoculation of test entries

BX09, a virulent and major race of *Xoo* [14] was collected from the Plant pathology Division, BRRI for inoculation. The isolate was cultured on Peptone Sucrose Agar (PSA) medium for 24-48 hours at 28°C. Before inoculation the concentration of the bacterial suspension was adjusted to 10^8 to 10^{10} CFU/ml using distilled water. The leaf clipping inoculation method [15] was adopted in this experiment.

Nursery trial

Pre germinated seeds of 102 tested materials were seeded in the nursery bed maintaining line to line distance 10 cm and line length 1 m with continuous seeding in line. Susceptible check (Purbachi) was seeded at every 10 lines interval. At 40 days after seeding, 50% of all tested materials were inoculated with BX09.

Field trial

Field screening was also conducted by using the rest 50% seedlings of the nursery bed following IRRI method. The test entries were planted in the field maintaining susceptible check (Purbachi) at every 10 lines interval and transplanted 5 hills of each entry in a line. All the test entries were inoculated with BXo9 at maximum tillering stage.

Collection and Analyses of data

A total of 20 inoculated rice leaves were randomly selected from each entry in both nursery and field trial. Data on lesion length, relative lesion length and leaf area damaged were recorded at 14 days after inoculation (DAI). Lesion length was measured by using a measuring scale. The relative lesion length was computed using the following formula [16].

| | Lesion length |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Relative lesion length $\% =$ | x 100 |
| | Length of the leaf |

Mean data of each character was subjected to multivariate analysis following Principal component analysis (PCA), Principal coordinate analysis (PCO), Canonical vector analysis (CVA) and Cluster analysis (CLSA) using GENSTAT 5.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis is one of the multivariate techniques to know the interrelationships among several characters and can be done from the sum of squares and product matrix for the characters. Principal components were computed from the correlation matrix and genotypic scores obtained for the first component and succeeding components with latent roots greater than unity [17]. The first component has the property of accounting for maximum variance.

Principal Coordinate analysis (PCO)

Principal coordinate analysis is equivalent to PCA but it is used to calculate inter-unit distances. Through the use of all dimensions of p it gives the minimum distances between each pair of the N points using similarity matrix [18]. Interdistances between genotypes were studied by PCO.



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Canonical Vector Analysis (CVA)

Canonical vector analysis (CVA) complementary to D^2 statistic is a sort of multivariate analysis where canonical vector and roots representing different axes of differentiation and the amount of variation accounted for by each of such axes, respectively and derived. Canonical vector analysis finds linear combination of original variability than maximize the ratio of between groups to within group's variation, thereby giving functions of the original variables that can be used to discriminate between the groups.

Cluster Analysis (CLSA)

Genotypes were divided into groups on the basis of a data set into some number of mutually exclusive groups. The clustering was done using non-hierarchical classification. In Genstat, the algorithm is used to search for optimal values of the chosen criterion.

Computation of average Intra-cluster distance

Computation of Average Intra-Cluster distance for each cluster was calculated by taking possible D² values within the members of a cluster obtained from the PCO after the clusters were formed. The formula utilized was $\Sigma D^2/n$, where Σ D² is the sum of distances between all possible combinations (n) of the genotypes included in a cluster found from the equation n (n-1)/2. The square root of the average D² values represents the distance (D) within cluster.

Results and Discussion:

Screening land races against bacterial blight (BB) pathogen in seedling nursery

Reaction of land races to the BB pathogen

Mean values of lesion length, relative lesion length and leaf area damaged by the BB pathogen were shown in Table 1. Variations in three parameters on 102 genotypes including 2 checks indicated the existence of genetic diversity among the tested land races. The lesion length ranges from 0.65 to 8.96 cm. The lowest and highest lesion length were found on the resistant check variety 'IRBB65' (0.65 cm.) and susceptible check 'Purbachi' (6.17 cm.), respectively. The relative lesion length ranged from 2.55 to 76.86%, where highest relative lesion length was recorded at 'GOUTI (2)' followed by 'NEEL KONTHI'. Conversely, the lowest value of the parameter was found on IRBB65. The maximum leaf area damaged (63.08%) was recorded from 'MIRJA MUKHI' followed by 'NEEL KONTHI'.

Genetic diversity among the tested land races

Non-hierarchical clustering of genotypes

The computations from distance matrix gave non-hierarchical clustering among 102 test rice genotypes including 2 check varieties and grouped them into ten clusters (Table 2). The distribution pattern indicated that cluster V was comprised of the highest number of 18 tested entries followed by cluster II and cluster VII. Each of cluster III and cluster IV contained eleven entries; and I, VI, VIII, IX and X were comprised of two, four, seven, ten and twelve entries, respectively. Among the tested materials, resistant check 'IRBB65' was placed in cluster I. The inclusion of susceptible check 'PURBACHI' in cluster VI indicated that this variety is totally different from other entries used in this study (Table 2).

Construction of scatter diagram

On the basis of principal axes I and II from the principal component analysis, a two dimensional scatter diagram using component score I as X-axis and component score 2 as Y-axis was constructed (Fig 1). The distribution of genotypes in scattered diagram was distributed into 10 clusters which revealed existence of considerable diversity among the tested genotypes.

Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCO)

The highest inter genotypic distance was 4.3033 observed between GOUTI (2) and IRBB65 (resistance check) and the lowest distance of 0.0238 was observed between SOLDELA and DIGHA. Differences between the highest and lowest



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

inter genotypic distances indicated the prevalence of genetic diversity among the 102 land races. The statistical distances represent the index of genetic diversity among the clusters (Table 3).

Canonical variant analysis (CVA)

Canonical Variant Analysis was performed to obtain the inter cluster distances (Mahalanobis's D^2 value). The values of inter cluster distance (D^2) were presented in Table 4. Statistical distances represented the index of genetic diversity among the clusters. The inter cluster distance was maximum between cluster I and cluster VI followed by the distance between cluster I and cluster III. The minimum inter cluster distance was observed between cluster VII and cluster X. The maximum intra-cluster distance was noticed from the cluster I followed by cluster VIII and cluster X. The minimum was found in cluster V followed by cluster III (0.2257). Differences in cluster means existed for almost all the characters studied. In cluster I, it contained lowest value of all the studied characters (lesion length, relative lesion length and damaged leaf area) and cluster VI contained the highest value (Table 5).

Higher inter and intra cluster distances indicate higher genetic variability among genotypes between and within clusters, respectively. The relationships were also reflected in the scatter diagram (Fig. 1).

Contribution of different characters towards divergence of the genotypes

Relative contribution towards divergence is presented in Table 6. Vector I and Vector II values were obtained from Principal Component Analysis (PCA). In first axis vector I, all the studied characters had negative impact towards divergence. In vector II, lesion length had negative impact; and relative lesion length and leaf area damaged had positive impact towards divergence.

Screening land races against BB pathogen in field condition

Reaction of land races to the BB pathogen

Mean values of lesion length, relative lesion length and leaf area damaged by the BB were shown in Table 7. Variations in three parameters on102 genotypes indicate the existence of genetic diversity among the tested land races. The lesion length ranges from 0.6 to 44.6 cm, where the lowest and highest lesion length was found on rice germplasm MATHIA (Acc. No. 523) and SALLA (Acc. No. 455), respectively. The relative lesion length ranged from 1.34 to 100.0% among the test landraces. The highest relative lesion length was recorded at BASH PHUL, BUNA DHAN, DUDHSAR followed by Purbachi while the lowest value of the parameter was found on MATHIA. Again, the maximum (100%) leaf area damaged was recorded from BUNA DHAN followed by BASH PHUL.

Genetic diversity among the tested land races

Non-hierarchical clustering of genotypes

The distribution pattern indicated that cluster II was comprised of the highest number of 20 tested entries followed by cluster X and cluster VII. Cluster I, cluster VI and cluster VIII contained seven entries; cluster III and V were comprised of nine entries; cluster IV contained one and cluster IX contained eleven entries. Among the tested materials, resistant check 'IRBB65' was placed in cluster I. The inclusion of susceptible check 'Purbachi' in cluster V indicated that this variety is totally different from other entries used in this study (Table 8). It may be concluded that these results were confirmatory with the clustering pattern of the genotypes obtained through Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

Construction of scatter diagram

On the basis of principal axes I and II from the Principal Component Analysis, a two dimensional scatter diagram using component score I as X-axis and component score 2 as Y-axis was constructed (Fig. 2). The distribution of genotypes in scattered diagram was distributed into 10 clusters which revealed existence of considerable diversity among the tested genotypes.



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCO)

The highest inter genotypic distance was 6.261 observed between KASIA PHUL (2) and MATHIA. The lowest distance of 0.018 was observed between BALAN and SHIL KUMOR (2). Differences between the highest and lowest inter genotypic distances indicated the prevalence of genetic diversity among the 102 land races (Table 9).

Canonical Variant Analysis (CVA)

Canonical Variant Analysis was performed to obtain the inter cluster distances (Mahalanobis's D^2 value). The values of inter cluster distance (D^2) were presented in Table 10. Statistical distances represented the index of genetic diversity among the clusters. The inter cluster distance was maximum between cluster I and cluster IV followed by the distance between cluster II and cluster IV. The minimum inter cluster distance was observed between cluster III and cluster VII. The maximum intra-cluster distance was noticed from the cluster I followed by cluster II and cluster X. The minimum was found in cluster IV (0.00) followed by cluster III (0.194). Differences in cluster means existed for almost all the characters studied. In cluster I, it contained lowest value of all the studied characters and cluster IV contained the highest value (Table 11).

The intra-cluster distances ranged from 0.00 to 1.323 (Table 10). Intra-cluster distances in all clusters were more or less low which indicated genotypes within the same cluster were closely related. The highest intra-cluster distance was recorded in cluster I containing seven genotypes followed by cluster II. The lowest intra-cluster distance was observed in cluster IV having only one genotype. It was favored to decide that intra-cluster diversity was the highest in cluster I *i.e.*, more heterogeneous and intra-cluster diversity was the lowest in cluster IV and because of containing one genotype it is homogenous.

Higher inter and intra cluster distances indicate higher genetic variability among genotypes between and within clusters, respectively. The relationships were also reflected in the scatter diagram (Fig. 2).

Contribution of different characters towards divergence of the genotypes:

Relative contribution towards divergence was presented in Table 12. Vector I and Vector II values were obtained from Principal Component Analysis (PCA). In first axis vector I, all the studied characters had positive impact towards divergence. In vector II, lesion length and relative lesion length had negative impact and leaf area damaged had positive impact towards divergence. The character that showed positive value in both vectors contributed most towards divergence. In the present study, all the characters showed positive value first vector; two characters showed negative value and one character showed positive value in second vector. Mean lesion length and Relative lesion length showed positive value in vector I and negative value in Vector II.

Variations in mean Bacterial Blight severities of the tested 102 materials to the major race BXO9 of BB pathogen (*Xoo*), were found indicating the existence of genetic variability for BB resistance among the tested materials.

In nursery trial, land race SUNG WALA comprised in cluster I with resistant check IRBB65. It is clear from the Table 5 that the lowest intra cluster means for mean lesion length, relative lesion length and leaf area damaged were obtained from cluster I. The other cluster VIII maintained minimum distance ($D^2=6.357$) from cluster I. Therefore, more emphasis should be given on these clusters for selecting BB resistant rice genotype(s), which may be useful for developing durable BB resistant rice cultivars.

The characters (Lesion length, Relative lesion length and Leaf Area Damaged) showed positive value in both vectors contributed most towards divergence. In case of nursery trial, one character showed negative value in both the vectors; and other two characters showed positive value in one vector and negative value in other vector. Relative lesion length and Leaf Area Damaged showed negative value in vector I and positive value in Vector II. It indicates that relative lesion length and leaf area damaged contributed the highest for divergence. Moreover, mean lesion length showed negative



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

value in vector II indicating the character contributed lowest for divergence in the studied materials. So, considering the resistant potentiality at seedling stage, SUNG WALA (Acc. No. 494) from cluster I and PAJER (Acc. No. 423) from cluster VIII may select as resistant sources.

In field trial, among the tested materials, resistant check 'IRBB65' was placed in cluster I along with KHOMON DHAN, JOTA GANJ, HARMA SHAIL (1), KALI RAY, BANSH PHUL (2) and MATHIA. The inclusion of susceptible check 'Purbachi' in cluster V indicated that this variety is totally different from other entries used in this study (Table 8). Due to the lowest intra cluster means for mean lesion length, relative lesion length and leaf area damaged were obtained from cluster I (Table 11). Therefore, more emphasis should be given on this cluster for selecting BB resistant rice genotype(s), which may be useful for the development of durable BB resistant rice cultivars.

Again, in case of field trial, only Leaf Area Damaged showed positive value in both the vectors thus it contributed most towards divergence. Other two characters Lesion Length and Relative Lesion Length showed negative value in vector II indicating the character contributed lowest for divergence in the studied materials. Therefore, MATHIA and HARMA SHAIL (1) may select for using resistant sources because of their lowest response to the BB pathogen and comprised in cluster I. Genotypes belonging to the distant clusters could be used in hybridization program for obtaining a wide spectrum of variation among the segregates [19]. It is more beneficial if crossing might be carried out between genotypes belonging to different groups if their genetic distances (D²) were greater than 12.5 [20]. Thus it could be suggested that crosses might be made between genotypes belonging to the distant clusters for higher heterotic response (Table 10). In the present study, the inter cluster distances between cluster I and IV with other cluster suggesting that crossing of genotypes of cluster I and IV with desirable genotypes of other clusters would express heterotic effect.

Conclusion:

The information generated in this study would be very useful for the development of durable resistant rice cultivar against different races of the bacterial blight pathogen in Bangladesh. Results revealed that the inter cluster distances were larger than the intra cluster distances and wider genetic diversity among the test entries. In nursery experiment, PAJRE and in field experiment, MATHIA and HARMA SHAIL (1) showed resistance against *Xoo* due to highest varietal distance (D), which may use for crossing program for the development of BB resistant rice variety.

Authors' contributions:

All authors are contributed equally in this research work.

| SI. No. | Acc. No. | Variety Name | Mean Lesion Length | Relative lesion length (%) | Leaf Area Damaged (%) |
|------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 01 | 417 | GOUTI(2) | 7.88 | 76.86 | 52.73 |
| 02 | 418 | SUNA MUKHI | 3.94 | 44.23 | 26.43 |
| 03 | 419 | SUNA SHAIL (4) | 3.55 | 39.78 | 21.25 |
| 04 | 420 | DHOLA DEPA | 5.64 | 46.37 | 33.18 |
| 05 | 421 | JHULON | 2.80 | 26.82 | 14.46 |
| 06 | 422 | BOKOL SHAIL | 3.02 | 31.59 | 15.07 |
| 07 | 423 | PAJRE | 2.09 | 23.01 | 13.10 |
| 08 | 424 | BINNA PHUL | 3.76 | 39.59 | 23.12 |
| 09 | 425 | KHOMON DHAN | 3.95 | 39.52 | 27.53 |
| 10 | 426 | JOTA GANJ | 3.48 | 28.60 | 23.08 |

Table 1. Disease development on land races including checks after inoculation with Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in nursery

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



| ERNATIONAL JO | URNAL OF SCIENCE AN | D TECHNOLOGY | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|-------|-------|
| 11 | 1 427 | HARMA SHAIL (1) | 3.23 | 37.55 | 45.00 |
| 12 | 2 428 | HARMA SHAIL (2) | 2.20 | 29.14 | 27.50 |
| 13 | 3 429 | KALI RAY | 3.95 | 30.26 | 25.18 |
| 14 | 4 430 | NAGRA DHAN | 3.41 | 46.23 | 35.71 |
| 15 | 5 431 | GAINJA | 3.00 | 30.77 | 27.50 |
| 16 | 6 432 | BADA DHAN | 2.23 | 29.77 | 12.88 |
| 17 | 7 433 | BUCHI | 4.08 | 25.65 | 14.05 |
| 18 | 8 434 | BOWAL DOH | 3.98 | 36.13 | 19.00 |
| 19 | 9 435 | MOHINI SHAIL | 3.03 | 40.47 | 26.25 |
| 20 | 0 436 | NEEL KONTHI | 5.90 | 65.56 | 53.33 |
| 21 | 1 437 | KATI SHAIL | 4.80 | 45.24 | 35.57 |
| 22 | 2 438 | KATIK SHAIL | 4.67 | 54.59 | 49.29 |
| 23 | 3 439 | HORMA | 7.26 | 53.42 | 40.75 |
| 24 | 4 440 | KAISA PHUL | 3.40 | 36.96 | 30.00 |
| 25 | 5 442 | SOLDELA | 5.01 | 37.65 | 23.85 |
| 20 | 6 443 | JOLA | 4.60 | 29.58 | 19.00 |
| 27 | 7 444 | TANGUL | 5.58 | 42.40 | 35.00 |
| 28 | 8 445 | BANSH PHUL (1) | 2.54 | 23.09 | 16.88 |
| 29 | 9 446 | BANSH PHUL (2) | 5.49 | 48.79 | 40.00 |
| 30 | 0 447 | TEPA KHULA | 4.48 | 43.73 | 41.92 |
| 31 | 1 448 | KASIA PHUL (2) | 6.16 | 52.29 | 49.00 |
| 32 | 2 449 | BAWAI JHAKI | 6.47 | 40.88 | 40.00 |
| 33 | 3 450 | BAS KOLOM | 5.28 | 32.94 | 38.67 |
| 34 | 4 451 | DOLA GOCHA | 8.96 | 39.20 | 28.40 |
| 35 | 5 453 | KALI BUNI | 3.88 | 31.57 | 23.13 |
| 30 | 6 454 | KOLOM DEPA | 5.50 | 38.46 | 44.50 |
| 37 | 7 455 | SALLA | 3.72 | 33.84 | 28.89 |
| 38 | 8 456 | KOLOM | 6.19 | 47.73 | 37.45 |
| 39 | 9 457 | BABU SHAIL | 5.36 | 48.86 | 38.46 |
| 40 | 0 458 | BUTA SHAIL | 8.08 | 57.05 | 40.75 |
| 41 | 1 459 | MOHON BHOG | 5.38 | 49.90 | 28.89 |
| 42 | 2 460 | PENGUN | 3.98 | 27.65 | 25.00 |
| 43 | 3 461 | NOYON MONI | 4.63 | 29.66 | 19.68 |
| 44 | 4 462 | BETO | 6.64 | 46.97 | 33.50 |
| 45 | 5 463 | RANJAY | 4.94 | 48.31 | 34.62 |
| 46 | 6 464 | NIDAN SHAIL | 4.14 | 41.73 | 30.00 |
| 47 | 7 465 | HASH RAJ | 4.47 | 33.01 | 21.94 |
| 48 | 8 466 | BASH PHUL | 6.25 | 48.56 | 29.50 |
| 49 | 9 467 | GUJA BALAM | 4.56 | 40.62 | 24.00 |
| 50 | 0 468 | MIRJA MUKHI | 5.28 | 64.93 | 63.08 |
| 51 | 1 469 | LAIJAN | 7.03 | 51.79 | 42.25 |
| 52 | 2 470 | KALAM BASHIR | 3.44 | 29.00 | 17.50 |
| 53 | 3 471 | SUNGAIL | 6.94 | 49.55 | 31.75 |
| 54 | 4 472 | HIDA | 7.00 | 56.91 | 44.00 |
| 55 | 5 473 | HIDA (2) | 5.63 | 39.38 | 32.27 |
| 56 | 6 475 | SHULI | 6.78 | 41.07 | 26.00 |
| 57 | 7 477 | KRISNA CHURA | 4.19 | 34.72 | 36.50 |

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



| 15100AD | OUNT TO DIG WE | OF SUIENCE AND TE | athoLogi | | | |
|---------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|-------|-------|
| | 58 | 479 | AJOL DIGA (2) | 5.83 | 43.53 | 31.67 |
| | 59 | 480 | KAKUA | 5.42 | 40.23 | 40.33 |
| | 60 | 485 | HANSA | 7.02 | 42.14 | 40.85 |
| | 61 | 486 | KANJAL | 3.90 | 23.19 | 12.14 |
| | 62 | 487 | LALHIDA | 6.73 | 36.51 | 35.33 |
| | 63 | 488 | MATI GOROL (2) | 5.13 | 34.41 | 21.36 |
| | 64 | 489 | DIGHA (3) | 6.68 | 36.74 | 37.83 |
| | 65 | 490 | DIGHA | 5.06 | 37.14 | 23.41 |
| | 66 | 491 | DIGHA | 4.99 | 42.88 | 28.94 |
| | 67 | 492 | BHUJON KURPUR | 4.04 | 20.20 | 25.00 |
| | 68 | 493 | KAGOL GOOR | 5.85 | 39.02 | 31.47 |
| | 69 | 494 | SUNG WALA | 4.90 | 15.50 | 5.20 |
| | 70 | 495 | PATH KOLA | 6.17 | 34.24 | 18.10 |
| | 71 | 496 | HANS KUL | 5.56 | 33.37 | 19.40 |
| | 72 | 498 | KALA RAY | 6.02 | 31.52 | 18.00 |
| | 73 | 499 | DUDH SAR | 7.16 | 45.29 | 28.70 |
| | 74 | 500 | CHANDA AMAN | 6.79 | 33.26 | 36.33 |
| | 75 | 501 | LAL AMAN | 5.93 | 36.02 | 39.14 |
| | 76 | 502 | LAU JAN | 6.48 | 39.42 | 42.75 |
| | 77 | 503 | NARA ASWINA | 2.82 | 38.94 | 30.00 |
| | 78 | 504 | BUNA DHAN | 4.31 | 30.11 | 20.00 |
| | 79 | 507 | SHORIGHA PANA | 4.25 | 32.71 | 21.43 |
| | 80 | 508 | BEGUN BICHI | 4.10 | 28.87 | 30.00 |
| | 81 | 513 | BHASHA MANIK | 5.91 | 50.13 | 40.50 |
| | 82 | 514 | KOCHU DHOLA | 3.68 | 35.56 | 31.25 |
| | 83 | 515 | SUNGA WALA | 2.90 | 30.93 | 25.50 |
| | 84 | 516 | BALAN | 2.73 | 31.06 | 26.43 |
| | 85 | 518 | KONEKCHUL | 6.70 | 39.41 | 31.43 |
| | 86 | 519 | HORINKHUR PANATI | 3.91 | 28.42 | 25.71 |
| | 87 | 520 | GANJIA | 5.04 | 42.42 | 30.91 |
| | 88 | 521 | DUDHSAR | 3.84 | 29.57 | 20.56 |
| | 89 | 522 | HALDI JAON | 4.40 | 39.40 | 27.50 |
| | 90 | 523 | MATHIA | 5.98 | 57.50 | 38.00 |
| | 91 | 525 | MUKUT SHAIL | 5.78 | 42.00 | 33.77 |
| | 92 | 529 | BAN KOLOM | 5.89 | 37.74 | 30.45 |
| | 93 | 531 | GANJIA | 5.69 | 38.26 | 33.33 |
| | 94 | 532 | GANJIA | 4.60 | 42.40 | 40.83 |
| | 95 | 536 | SAFA HAR (3) | 7.04 | 49.21 | 40.63 |
| | 96 | 537 | KAL NANIA | 3.14 | 22.64 | 17.69 |
| | 97 | 539 | KARTIK SHAIL (LAL) | 5.57 | 42.57 | 33.33 |
| | 98 | 549 | SHIL KUMOR (2) | 5.58 | 44.64 | 35.00 |
| | 99 | 559 | SHUL PAN | 6.64 | 51.27 | 32.50 |
| | 100 | 574 | HUNUMAN JOTA | 5.73 | 47.51 | 30.42 |
| | 101 | S. CK | PURBACHI (**) | 6.17 | 62.45 | 50.46 |
| | 102 | R. CK | IRBB65 (*) | 0.65 | 2.55 | 3.50 |

Note: (*) indicates lowest lesion length and (**) highest lesion length among the tested materials.





| Table 2. Distribution of land races with 2 check varieties in ten clusters | | | | | |
|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Cluster | Member | Name of variety | | | |
| Ι | 2 | SUNG WALA, IRBB 65 | | | |
| Π | 14 | BOKOL SHAIL, BOWAL DOH, JOLA, KALI BUNI, NOYON MONI, HASH RAJ, KALAM BASHIR, MATI GOROL (2), PATH KOLA, HANS KUL, KALA RAY, BUNA DHAN, SHORIGHA PANA, DUDHSAR | | | |
| III | 11 | KATIK SHAIL, HORMA, BANSH PHUL (2), KASIA PHUL, BABU SHAIL, BUTA SHAIL, LAIJAN, HIDA, BHASHA MANIK, MATHIA, SAFA HAR (3) | | | |
| IV | 11 | HARMA SHAIL (1), TEPA KHULA, BAWAI JHAKI, BAS KOLOM, KOLOM DEPA, KAKUA, HANSA, DIGHA (3), LAL AMAN, LAU JAN, GANJIA | | | |
| V | 18 | DHOLA DEPA, NAGRA DHAN, KATI SHAIL, TANGUL, KOLOM, MOHON BHOG, BETO, RANJAY, BASH PHUL, SUNGAIL, AJOL DIGA (2), DUDH SAR, GANJIA, MUKUT SHAIL, KARTIK SHAIL (LAL), SHIL KUMOR (2), SHUL PAN, HUNUMAN JOTA | | | |
| VI | 4 | GOUTI(2), NEEL KONTHI, MIRJA MUKHI, PURBACHI | | | |
| VII | 13 | SUNA MUKHI, SUNA SHAIL (4), BINNA PHUL, KHOMON DHAN, MOHINI SHAIL, SOLDELA, DOLA GOCHA, NIDAN SHAIL, GUJA BALAM, SHULI, DIGHA, DIGHA, HALDI JAON | | | |
| VIII | 7 | JHULON, PAJRE, BADA DHAN, BUCHI, BANSH PHUL (1), KANJAL, KAL NANIA | | | |
| IX | 10 | JOTA GANJ, HARMA SHAIL (2), KALI RAY, GAINJA, PENGUN, BHUJON KURPUR, BEGUN BICHI, SUNGA WALA, BALAN, HORINKHUR PANATI | | | |
| Х | 12 | KAISA PHUL, SALLA, HIDA (2), KRISNA CHURA, LALHIDA, KAGOL GOOR, CHANDA AMAN, NARA ASWINA, KOCHU DHOLA, KONEKCHUL, BAN KOLOM, GANJIA | | | |





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Table 3. Ten higher and ten lower inter genotypic distance among the 100 land races along with 2 check varieties in nursery

| Sl. No. | Genotypic combination | Genotypic Distances | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 10 higher inter genotypic distance | | | | | | |
| 1 | GOUTI (2) & IRBB65 | 4.3033 | | | | |
| 2 | MIRJA MUKHI & IRBB65 | 4.1686 | | | | |
| 3 | NEEL KONTHI & IRBB65 | 4.1041 | | | | |
| 4 | PURBACHI & IRBB65 | 4.0509 | | | | |
| 5 | BUTA SHAIL & IRBB65 | 3.9596 | | | | |
| 6 | HIDA & IRBB65 | 3.9483 | | | | |
| 7 | KASIA PHUL (2) & IRBB65 | 3.9102 | | | | |
| 8 | HORMA & IRBB65 | 3.8740 | | | | |
| 9 | KATIK SHAIL & IRBB65 | 3.8624 | | | | |
| 10 | MATHIA & IRBB65 | 3.8204 | | | | |
| 10 lower inter | genotypic distance | | | | | |
| 1 | SOLDELA& DIGHA | 0.0238 | | | | |
| 2 | JOLA & NOYON MONI | 0.0340 | | | | |
| 3 | MUKUT SHAIL & KARTIK SHAIL (LAL) | 0.0364 | | | | |
| 4 | PENGUN & HORINKHUR PANAH | 0.0404 | | | | |
| 5 | HIDA (2) & KAGOL GOOR | 0.0417 | | | | |
| 6 | HIDA (2) & GANJIA | 0.0431 | | | | |
| 7 | BANSH PHUL (2) & BABU SHAIL | 0.0433 | | | | |
| 8 | TEPA KHULA & GANJIA | 0.0452 | | | | |
| 9 | KAGOL GOOR & BAN KOLOM | 0.0459 | | | | |
| 10 | TANGUL & MUKUT SHAIL | 0.0468 | | | | |





ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

| Table 4. Average intra (Diagonal) and inter cluster distances $(D^2 \label{eq:constraint})$ |) of 100 exotic rice genotypes with 2 check varieties |
|---|---|
| (Inter-group | distances) |

| | (Inter-group distances) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х |
| 2.0216 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9.251 | 0.2629 | | | | | | | | |
| 19.759 | 10.661 | 0.2257 | | | | | | | |
| 16.6 | 8.285 | 4.492 | 0.2633 | | | | | | |
| 15.881 | 6.688 | 4.025 | 3.913 | 0.2122 | | | | | |
| 26.377 | 17.257 | 6.690 | 10.519 | 10.597 | 0.2584 | | | | |
| 12.791 | 3.557 | 7.233 | 5.753 | 3.225 | 13.751 | 0.3180 | | | |
| 6.357 | 3.104 | 13.631 | 10.938 | 9.684 | 20.177 | 6.532 | 0.3436 | | |
| 10.148 | 2.756 | 9.850 | 6.612 | 6.288 | 16.377 | 3.859 | 4.461 | 0.2796 | |
| 13.622 | 4.956 | 6.259 | 3.347 | 3.016 | 12.862 | 2.706 | 7.721 | 3.669 | 0.3302 |
| | I 2.0216 9.251 19.759 16.6 15.881 26.377 12.791 6.357 10.148 13.622 | I II 2.0216 0.2629 9.251 0.2629 19.759 10.661 16.6 8.285 15.881 6.688 26.377 17.257 12.791 3.557 6.357 3.104 10.148 2.756 13.622 4.956 | IIIIII2.0216 | IIIIIIIV2.0216 | IIIIIIIVV2.02169.2510.262919.75910.6610.225716.68.2854.4920.263315.8816.6884.0253.9130.212226.37717.2576.69010.51910.59712.7913.5577.2335.7533.2256.3573.10413.63110.9389.68410.1482.7569.8506.6126.28813.6224.9566.2593.3473.016 | IIIIIIIVVVI2.02169.2510.262919.75910.6610.225716.68.2854.4920.263315.8816.6884.0253.9130.212226.37717.2576.69010.51910.5970.258412.7913.5577.2335.7533.22513.7516.3573.10413.63110.9389.68420.17710.1482.7569.8506.6126.28816.37713.6224.9566.2593.3473.01612.862 | IIIIIIIVVVIVII2.02169.2510.262919.75910.6610.225716.68.2854.4920.263315.8816.6884.0253.9130.212226.37717.2576.69010.51910.5970.258412.7913.5577.2335.7533.22513.7510.31806.3573.10413.63110.9389.68420.1776.53210.1482.7569.8506.6126.28816.3773.85913.6224.9566.2593.3473.01612.8622.706 | IIIIIIIVVVIVIIVIII2.02169.2510.262919.75910.6610.225716.68.2854.4920.263315.8816.6884.0253.9130.212226.37717.2576.69010.51910.5970.258412.7913.5577.2335.7533.22513.7510.31806.3573.10413.63110.9389.68420.1776.5320.343610.1482.7569.8506.6126.28816.3773.8594.46113.6224.9566.2593.3473.01612.8622.7067.721 | IIIIIIIVVVIVIIVIIIIX2.02169.2510.262919.75910.6610.225716.68.2854.4920.263315.8816.6884.0253.9130.212226.37717.2576.69010.51910.5970.258412.7913.5577.2335.7533.22513.7510.31806.3573.10413.63110.9389.68420.1776.5320.343610.1482.7569.8506.6126.28816.3773.8594.4610.279613.6224.9566.2593.3473.01612.8622.7067.7213.669 |

 Table 5. Cluster mean for 3 characters in 100 land race genotypes along with 2 check varieties

| | | | | | | | | J 1 | 0 | |
|------------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х |
| Mean lesion length | 2.78 | 4.52 | 6.31 | 5.55 | 5.73 | 6.36 | 4.78 | 2.97 | 3.43 | 5.09 |
| Relative lesion length | 9.03 | 31.89 | 52.78 | 39.14 | 46.14 | 67.45 | 40.25 | 24.88 | 28.59 | 36.97 |
| Leaf Area damaged | 4.35 | 19.58 | 42.15 | 41.07 | 32.86 | 54.90 | 25.90 | 14.46 | 26.09 | 32.27 |



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



| Table 6. Characters contribution towards divergence | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Characters | Vector I | Vector II | | | | |
| Mean Lesion Length | -0.5321 | -0.8345 | | | | |
| Relative Lesion Length | -0.6083 | 0.2594 | | | | |
| Leaf Area Damaged | -0.5888 | 0.4862 | | | | |

Table 7. Disease development on 100 land races and 2 check varieties after inoculation with Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in field.

| | | oryzae in neid. | | | | |
|------------------|-----|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Sl. No. Acc. No. | | Variety Name | v Name Mean Lesion | | Leaf Area | |
| | | | Length (cm) | Lesion Length | Damaged | |
| | | | | (%) | | |
| 01 | 417 | GOUTI(2) | 28.5 | 59.50 | 53 | |
| 02 | 418 | SUNA MUKHI | 20.9 | 50.98 | 21.9 | |
| 03 | 419 | SUNA SHAIL (4) | 14.8 | 37.47 | 28 | |
| 04 | 420 | DHOLA DEPA | 8.98 | 22.34 | 16 | |
| 05 | 421 | JHULON | 33.2 | 79.43 | 80 | |
| 06 | 422 | BOKOL SHAIL | 15.5 | 42.12 | 14 | |
| 07 | 423 | PAJRE | 18.2 | 46.55 | 18.6 | |
| 08 | 424 | BINNA PHUL | 11.5 | 30.38 | 14.6 | |
| 09 | 425 | KHOMON DHAN | 8.2 | 19.25 | 5.2 | |
| 10 | 426 | JOTA GANJ | 7.3 | 15.15 | 7.2 | |
| 11 | 427 | HARMA SHAIL (1) (*) | 1.5 | 3.59 | 2.6 | |
| 12 | 428 | HARMA SHAIL (2) | 10.8 | 27.69 | 8.2 | |
| 13 | 429 | KALI RAY | 6 | 14.02 | 6 | |
| 14 | 430 | NAGRA DHAN | 24.4 | 64.89 | 26 | |
| 15 | 431 | GAINJA | 26.2 | 56.71 | 41 | |
| 16 | 432 | BADA DHAN | 16.8 | 35.74 | 21 | |
| 17 | 433 | BUCHI | 10.8 | 21.34 | 19.6 | |
| 18 | 434 | BOWAL DOH | 15.4 | 39.29 | 15 | |
| 19 | 435 | MOHINI SHAIL | 12.4 | 27.19 | 12 | |
| 20 | 436 | NEEL KONTHI | 31.6 | 71.82 | 60 | |
| 21 | 437 | KATI SHAIL | 12 | 27.03 | 9 | |
| 22 | 438 | KATIK SHAIL | 21.8 | 52.91 | 32 | |
| 23 | 439 | HORMA | 32.6 | 68.49 | 52 | |
| 24 | 440 | KAISA PHUL | 27 | 64.59 | 48.2 | |
| 25 | 442 | SOLDELA | 32.6 | 81.50 | 70 | |
| 26 | 443 | JOLA | 33.2 | 66.67 | 50 | |
| 27 | 444 | TANGUL | 36.9 | 73.51 | 39 | |

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

| 28 | 445 | BANSH PHUL (1) | 30 | 66.08 | 41 |
|----|-----|----------------|------|--------|------|
| 29 | 446 | BANSH PHUL (2) | 5.2 | 11.26 | 5 |
| 30 | 447 | TEPA KHULA | 22.3 | 44.60 | 36 |
| 31 | 448 | KASIA PHUL (2) | 33.8 | 81.45 | 39 |
| 32 | 449 | BAWAI JHAKI | 20 | 43.10 | 7 |
| 33 | 450 | BAS KOLOM | 42.7 | 77.64 | 18 |
| 34 | 451 | DOLA GOCHA | 28.4 | 56.35 | 32 |
| 35 | 453 | KALI BUNI | 30.6 | 61.45 | 42 |
| 36 | 454 | KOLOM DEPA | 37.4 | 74.50 | 62 |
| 37 | 455 | SALLA (**) | 44.6 | 88.49 | 27 |
| 38 | 456 | KOLOM | 44.2 | 81.55 | 26.6 |
| 39 | 457 | BABU SHAIL | 27 | 59.21 | 28 |
| 40 | 458 | BUTA SHAIL | 29 | 72.50 | 57 |
| 41 | 459 | MOHON BHOG | 15.7 | 35.68 | 15 |
| 42 | 460 | PENGUN | 21.5 | 41.99 | 8.6 |
| 43 | 461 | NOYON MONI | 30.4 | 74.51 | 36 |
| 44 | 462 | BETO | 41.8 | 80.69 | 77 |
| 45 | 463 | RANJAY | 33.6 | 77.06 | 73 |
| 46 | 464 | NIDAN SHAIL | 31.4 | 63.82 | 51 |
| 47 | 465 | HASH RAJ | 39.8 | 66.56 | 47 |
| 48 | 466 | BASH PHUL | 29.6 | 100.00 | 89 |
| 49 | 467 | GUJA BALAM | 32.2 | 60.53 | 34.6 |
| 50 | 468 | MIRJA MUKHI | 27.2 | 62.67 | 33 |
| 51 | 469 | LAIJAN | 17 | 72.03 | 20 |
| 52 | 470 | KALAM BASHIR | 13 | 25.19 | 16 |
| 53 | 471 | SUNGAIL | 12 | 26.91 | 23 |
| 54 | 472 | HIDA | 27.8 | 55.16 | 47 |
| 55 | 473 | HIDA (2) | 29.2 | 54.48 | 44 |
| 56 | 475 | SHULI | 27.8 | 67.15 | 48 |
| 57 | 477 | KRISNA CHURA | 26.8 | 77.01 | 51 |
| 58 | 479 | AJOL DIGA (2) | 28.4 | 86.06 | 65 |
| 59 | 480 | KAKUA | 21.2 | 47.96 | 39 |
| 60 | 485 | HANSA | 15.4 | 34.07 | 11 |
| 61 | 486 | KANJAL | 11 | 24.55 | 18 |
| 62 | 487 | LALHIDA | 29.4 | 69.01 | 46 |
| 63 | 488 | MATI GOROL (2) | 23.4 | 90.00 | 72 |
| 64 | 489 | DIGHA (3) | 24.6 | 61.19 | 57 |
| 65 | 490 | DIGHA | 9.4 | 20.52 | 21 |
| 66 | 491 | DIGHA | 22 | 47.01 | 38 |
| 67 | 492 | BHUJON KURPUR | 12.4 | 27.80 | 21 |
| 68 | 493 | KAGOL GOOR | 11.8 | 26.34 | 16 |
| 69 | 494 | SUNG WALA | 35.2 | 73.03 | 65 |

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



70 495 PATH KOLA 25.30 12.6 15 71 496 HANS KUL 16.8 40.00 24 72 498 KALA RAY 31.8 67.95 57 73 499 **DUDH SAR** 57 37.8 75.30 74 25 500 CHANDA AMAN 17.6 44.00 75 501 LAL AMAN 15.6 40.00 30 76 502 LAU JAN 19.4 45.54 32 77 503 NARA ASWINA 18.4 53.49 38 78 504 **BUNA DHAN** 30 100.00 100 79 48 507 SHORIGHA PANA 31.4 74.06 80 508 **BEGUN BICHI** 20 38.31 34 81 513 BHASHA MANIK 14.8 31.90 26 82 19.6 60.12 30 514 KOCHU DHOLA 83 515 SUNGA WALA 8 21.74 8 84 23 52.75 39 516 BALAN 85 23 518 KONEKCHUL 18.6 41.33 519 HORINKHUR PANATI 9.4 19.75 17 86 87 520 GANJIA 17.2 39.27 26 88 521 DUDHSAR 45 100.00 38 25 89 522 HALDI JAON 13.6 25.95 90 4 523 MATHIA 0.6 1.34 8 91 525 MUKUT SHAIL 20.6 41.04 42 92 529 BAN KOLOM 28.6 61.11 93 531 GANJIA 16.2 32.40 20 94 532 15 31.38 19 GANJIA 95 44.33 13.4 536 SAFA HAR (3) 18 11.2 96 537 26.54 10 KAL NANIA 97 17.4 12 539 KARTIK SHAIL (LAL) 43.07 23 53.74 39 98 549 SHIL KUMOR (2) 99 559 SHUL PAN 24.6 62.12 36 100 35 574 HUNUMAN JOTA 19.2 53.93 101 82 S. CK PURBACHI 32.8 93.71 (**) 102 R. CK IRBB65 1.71 4.50 3.59

Note: (*) indicates lowest lesion length and (**) indicates highest lesion length.

(*)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515



| Table 8. Distribution of 100 land races with 2 check varieties in ten clusters (Field) | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Cluster | Member | Name of variety | | | | |
| Ι | 7 | KHOMON DHAN, JOTA GANJ, HARMA SHAIL (1), KALI RAY, BANSH PHUL (2), MATHIA, IRBB65 | | | | |
| П | 20 | DHOLA DEPA, BINNA PHUL, HARMA SHAIL (2), BUCHI, MOHINI SHAIL, KATI SHAIL, KALAM BASHIR, SUNGAIL, KANJAL, DIGHA, BHUJON KURPUR, KAGOL GOOR, PATH KOLA, BHASHA MANIK, SUNGA WALA, HORINKHUR PANATI, HALDI JAON, GANJIA, GANJIA, KAL NANIA NEEL KONTHI, HORMA, KOLOM DEPA, BUTA SHAIL, KRISNA | | | | |
| III | 9 | CHURA, SUNG WALA, KALA RAY, DUDH SAR, SHORIGHA PANA | | | | |
| IV | 1 | LAIJAN | | | | |
| V | 9 | JHULON, SOLDELA, BETO, RANJAY, BASH PHUL, AJOL DIGA (2), MATI GOROL (2), BUNA DHAN, PURBACHI | | | | |
| VI | 7 | TANGUL, KASIA PHUL (2), BAS KOLOM, SALLA, KOLOM, NOYON MONI, DUDHSAR | | | | |
| VII | 14 | GOUTI(2), GAINJA, KAISA PHUL, JOLA, BANSH PHUL (1), KALI BUNI, NIDAN SHAIL, HASH RAJ, HIDA, HIDA (2), SHULI, LALHIDA, DIGHA (3) BAN KOLOM | | | | |
| VIII | 7 | NAGRA DHAN, DOLA GOCHA, BABU SHAIL, GUJA BALAM, MIRJA MUKHI, KOCHU DHOLA, SHUL PAN | | | | |
| IX | 11 | KATIK SHAIL, TEPA KHULA, KAKUA, DIGHA, LAL AMAN, LAU JAN, NARA ASWINA, BEGUN BICHI, BALAN, SHIL KUMOR (2), HUNUMAN JOTA | | | | |
| Х | 17 | SUNA MUKHI, SUNA SHAIL (4), BOKOL SHAIL, PAJRE, BADA DHAN, BOWAL DOH, BAWAI JHAKI, MOHON BHOG, PENGUN, HANSA, HANS KUL, CHANDA AMAN, KONEKCHUL, GANJIA, MUKUT SHAIL, SAFA HAR (3), KARTIK SHAIL (LAL) | | | | |

Table 9. Ten higher and ten lower inter genotypic distances among the land races along with 2 check varieties

| Sl. No. | Genotypic combination | Genotypic Distances | |
|---------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | 10 higher inter genotypic distance | | |
| 1 | KASIA PHUL (2) & MATHIA | 6.261 | |
| 2 | BUNA DHAN & MATHIA | 5.656 | |
| 3 | BASH PHUL & MATHIA | 5.589 | |
| 4 | HARMA SHAIL (1) & LAIJAN | 5.576 | |

THE EXPERIMENT

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

| 5 | BETO & MATHIA | 5.565 |
|----------|------------------------------|-------|
| 6 | MATHIA & PURBACHI | 5.558 |
| 7 | DUDHSAR & MAHTIA | 5.447 |
| 8 | JHULON & MATHIA | 5.445 |
| 9 | SOLDELA & MATHIA | 5.385 |
| 10 | KOLOM DEPA & MATHIA | 5.347 |
| 10 lower | inter genotypic distance | |
| 1 | BALAN & SHIL KUMOR (2) | 0.018 |
| | | |
| 2 | KAKUA & DIGHA; KAISA PHUL & | 0.048 |
| | SHULI | |
| 3 | HORMA & JOLA | 0.050 |
| 4 | KALI BUNI & BAN KOLOM | 0.066 |
| 5 | KALAM BASHIR & PATH KOLA | 0.067 |
| 6 | SHULI & LAL HIDA | 0.073 |
| 7 | SOLDELA & RANJAY; TEPA KHULA | 0.075 |
| | & DIGHA | |
| 8 | BANSH PHUL (1) & KALI BUNI; | 0.078 |
| | KOLOM DEPA & SUNG WALA | |
| 9 | PENGUN & MUKUT SHAIL | 0.080 |
| | | |
| 10 | HORMA & NIDAN SHAIL | 0.081 |
| | | |

Table 10. Average intra and inter cluster distances (D²) of 100 land races along with 2 check varieties (Inter-group distances) in field

| | Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Ι | 1.323 | | | | | | | | | |
| Π | 3.54 | 0.497 | | | | | | | | |
| III | 14.03 | 10.51 | 0.194 | | | | | | | |
| IV | 50.03 | 47.26 | 40.39 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| V | 17.44 | 13.94 | 3.56 | 39.47 | 0.2999 | | | | | |
| VI | 14.72 | 11.36 | 4.80 | 36.73 | 6.89 | 0.4334 | | | | |
| VII | 11.79 | 8.26 | 2.24 | 41.69 | 5.75 | 5.16 | 0.219 | | | |
| VIII | 10.35 | 6.90 | 4.61 | 41.10 | 8.12 | 4.54 | 2.84 | 0.254 | | |
| IX | 8.40 | 4.87 | 5.63 | 43.87 | 9.09 | 7.21 | 3.39 | 2.78 | 0.2407 | |
| Х | 6.22 | 2.98 | 8.42 | 44.29 | 11.96 | 8.50 | 6.23 | 4.22 | 3.16 | 0.4846 |





ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

| Table 11. Cluster mean for 3 characters in 100 land races along with 2 check varieties in field | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х |
| Mean lesion length | 4.36 | 11.84 | 32.62 | 71.00 | 31.71 | 39.66 | 29.58 | 26.20 | 20.54 | 17.67 |
| Relative lesion length | 9.87 | 26.11 | 72.74 | 87.61 | 72.03 | 82.45 | 62.39 | 60.84 | 48.20 | 41.18 |
| Leaf Area damaged | 4.80 | 16.72 | 56.56 | 80.00 | 78.67 | 31.94 | 46.94 | 31.37 | 35.64 | 17.15 |

| Table 12. Characters contribution towards divergence | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Characters | Vector I | Vector II | | | | |
| Mean Lesion Length | 0.6133 | -0.3053 | | | | |
| Relative Lesion Length | 0.6014 | -0.4175 | | | | |
| Leaf Area Damaged | 0.5121 | 0.8559 | | | | |

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515





Figure 1. Scatter diagram of 100 land races along with 2 check varieties based on their principal component scores superimposed with clustering (Nursery).

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515





Figure 2. Scatter diagram of 100 land races along with 2 check varieties based on their Principal Component Scores superimposed with clustering (Field).



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

References:

- Swings J, Van DM, Vauterin L, Hoste B, Gillis M, Mew TW, Kersters K. Reclassification of the causal agents of bacterial blight Xanthomonas campestris pv. oryzae and bacterial leaf streak Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola of rice as pathovars of Xanthomonas (ex Ishiyama, 1922) sp. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1990; 40:309-311.
- 2. Jalaluddin M, Nakai H, Yamamoto T. Resistance of some modern rice cultivars and mutant to major Japanese and Bangladeshi races of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. PB: Society for the Advancement of Breeding Researches In Asia and Oceania. Bangkok, Thailand. SABRAO J of Breed and Gen 2005; 37(1): 33-42.
- 3. Mew TW. Current status and future prospects of research on bacterial blight of rice. Ann Rev Phytopathol 1987; 25:359-382.
- 4. Adhikari TB, Mew TW, Teng PS. Progress of bacterial blight on rice cultivars carrying different Xa genes for resistance in the field. Plant Dis 1994; 78:73-77.
- 5. Soga N. Bacterial leaf blight of rice in Kumamoto prefecture. Byochurai Zasshi 1918; 5(7):543-549.
- 6. Mizukami T, Wakimoto S. Epidemiology and control of bacterial leaf blight of rice. Ann Rev Phytopathol 1969; 7:51-72.
- 7. Noda T, Pham van Du, Lai van E, Hoang DinhDinh, and H Kaku. Pathogenicity of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae strains in Vietnam. Ann Phytopathol Soc Japan 1996; 65(3):293-296.
- 8. Mishra D, Vishnupriya MR, Anil MG, Konda K, Raj Y, Sonti RV. Pathotype and Genetic Diversity amongst Indian Isolates of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. PLoS ONE 2013; 8(11): e81996. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081996.
- Vera-Cruz CM, Bai J, Ona I, Leung H, Nelson RJ, Mew TW, Leach JE. Predicting durability of a disease resistance gene based on an assessment of the fitness loss and epidemiological consequences of avirulence gene mutation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000; 97:13500-13505.
- Suh JP, Jeung JU, Noh TH, Cho YC, Park SH, Park HS, Shin MS, Kim CK, Jena KK. Development of breeding lines with three pyramided resistance genes that confer broad-spectrum bacterial blight resistance and their molecular analysis in rice. Rice 2013; 6:5.
- 11. Pradhan SK, Nayak DK, Mohanty S, Behera L, Barik SR, Pandit E, Lenka S, Anandan A. Pyramiding of three bacterial blight resistance genes for broad-spectrum resistance in deep water rice variety, Jalmagna. Rice 2015; 8:19.
- 12. Kottapalli KR, Narasu ML, Jena KK. Effective strategy for pyramiding three bacterial blight resistance genes into fine grain rice cultivar, Samba Mahsuri, using sequence tagged site markers. Biotechnol Lett 2010; 32:989-996
- Luo YC, Sangha JS, Wang SH, Li ZF, Yang JB, Yin ZC. Marker-assisted breeding of Xa4, Xa21 and Xa27 in the restorer lines of hybrid rice for broad-spectrum and enhanced disease resistance to bacterial blight. Mol Breed 2012; 30:1601-1610.
- 14. Khan MAI, Mansur MA, Ali MA, Mia MAT. Pathogenic diversity of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in Bangldesh. Bangladesh J Plant Pathol 2009; 25(1&2):1-6.
- 15. Fang ZD, Xu ZG, Guo CJ, Yin SZ, Wu SZ, Xu ZM, Jhang Q. Studies on pathotypes of Xanthomonas campestris pv. oryzae in China. Acta Phytopathol Sinica 1990; 20:81-87.
- 16. Kauffman HE, Reddy APK, Hsieh SPY, Merca SD. An improved technique for evaluating resistance of rice varieties to Xanthomonas oryzae. Plant Dis Reporter 1973; 57:537-541.
- 17. Jeger MI, Garethojones D, Griffith E. Component of partial resistance of wheat seedlings to Septoria nodorum. Euphytica 1983; 32:575-584.
- 18. Digby P, Galway N, Lane P. GENSTATS, A second course, Oxford science publications, Oxford; 1989. P. 103-108.
- 19. Mokate AS, Mehetre SS, Bendale VW, Birari SP. Genetic divergence in rice. Advances in Plant Sci 1998; 11(2):189-192.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



ABM Muzahidul Islam et al, The Experiment, 2017, Vol 43 (3), 2495-2515

20. Wei WX, Zhang H, Lu FU, Wei SL. Principal component analysis and genetic distance estimation and their application in sesame breeding programme. Acta Agriculturse Boreali Sinica 1994; 9(3):29-33.

Author(s) & Affiliations

ABM Muzahidul Islam¹, J Rozalin Rahman², SAI Nihad³, R Akter³, HA Dilzahan³, MZ Islam⁴, MR Bhuiyan³, MH Kabir², MM Rashid³, MR Islam⁵, MA Latif³ and MAI Khan^{3,*}

¹RAB-4, Mirpur, Dhaka, Bangladesh;

²Department of Agricultural Extension, Bangladesh;

³Plant Pathology Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh;

⁴Genetic Resources and Seed Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh;

⁵Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.